## Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 1 of 19

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 1 of 19

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thomas H. Casey - Bar No. 138264 Kathleen M. Goldberg - Bar No. 132637 LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS H. CASEY, INC. A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 22342 Avenida Empresa, Suite 260 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688	COUNCIL DE SONO  2 8 2010  COUNCIL DE SONO  COUNCIL DE SO						
9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA							
10	DENNIS LEE MONTGOMERY, an individual; ) and, MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a)	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-PMP-VPC						
11	and, World Govern							
12	vs.	STIPULATION TO AMEND						
13	) ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a)	PROTECTIVE ORDER ENTERED ON SEPTEMBER 11, 2007						
14	Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN ) TREPP an individual: DEPARTMENT OF )							
15	DEFENSE of the United States of America, and ) Does 1 through 10,							
16	Defendants. )							
17	This Stipulation (the "Stipulation") is entered into by and between Jason M. Rund, in his							
18								
19	capacity as Chapter 7 Trustee ("Trustee") of the							
20	Kathleen Montgomery and eTreppid Technologic	55, L.D.C. and warron rropp ( orropping )						
21	(together referred to as the "Parties").							
22	FACTS  1 Dannis Lea Montgomers ("Debtor")							
23	WHEREAS, Michael Flynn ("Flynn") represented Dennis Lee Montgomery ("Debtor")							
24	in the above entitled case, among other litigation matters pending in the U.S. District Court of							
25	Nevada, including case numbers 3:06-cv-00691-PMP-VPC, 3:06-cv-00250-BES-VPC, and 3:06-							
26	cv-00263-PMP-VPC ("Nevada Actions").							
27	WHEREAS, Flynn's representation of the Debtor in the Nevada Actions ended in July of							
28	2007.							
	A Decreasing Orders Trennid wnd							

1 2

3

4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

WHEREAS, upon the withdrawal of Flynn as counsel, Liner, Grode, Stein, Yankelevitz, Sunshine, Regenstreif & Taylor (the "Liner Firm") began to represent the Debtor In the Nevada Actions.

WHEREAS, upon the request of the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") the Court in the Nevada Actions entered several protective orders including an Order entered on August 29, 2007 ("USA Protective Orders"). Pursuant to the USA Protective Orders, the Debtor and eTreppid are barred from seeking discovery or disclosure from any party which could cause damage to the national security of the United States. However, specific areas of inquiry were delineated as allowed areas of inquiry including any contract or agreement regarding the technology claimed to be owned by either the Debtors or eTreppid, facts relating to the issue of ownership of the technology, and the revenue or income of the Debtor.

WHEREAS, the Nevada District Court in the Nevada Actions entered a protective order regarding discovery matters between the Debtor and eTreppid. The Order, entered on September 11, 2007, is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" ("Protective Order").

WHEREAS, the Protective Order provides that documents produced between the Debtor and eTreppid could be labeled as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" and that such designated documents could not be disclosed or communicated in any fashion and could not be used for any purpose other then preparing for the litigation of the Nevada Actions.

WHEREAS, in the Nevada Actions eTreppid may have produced documents to Flynn pursuant to document requests from the Debtor, and eTreppid may have marked certain, produced documents as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential".

WHEREAS, in the Nevada Actions eTreppid did produced approximately 100 boxes of documents to the Liner Firm pursuant to a document requests from the Debtor, and eTreppid did mark certain, produced documents as "Confidential"or "Restricted Confidential".

WHEREAS, the Debtor, along with his wife, Brenda Kathleen Montgomery, filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on June 26, 2009 ("Petition Date").

WHEREAS, Jason M. Rund is the duly appointed trustee ("Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate of Dennis Lee Montgomery and Brenda Kathleen Montgomery ("Bankruptcy Estate").

4 5

6

7 8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

1.6

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

WHEREAS, in his capacity as Chapter 7 Trustee of the Bankruptcy Estate the Trustee steps into the shoes of the Debtor and pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 541 is vested with all right, title and interest in all property of the Debtor as of the Petition Date.

WHEREAS, in order to properly fulfill his statutory and fiduciary duties to investigate and administer the assets of the Bankruptcy Estate, the Trustee requires the turnover of any and all documents regarding the Debtor from Flynn and the Liner Firm.

WHEREAS, such a turnover of documents from Flynn and the Liner Firm to the Trustee would include documents marked as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" by eTreppid prior to their delivery to Flynn or the Liner Firm.

WHEREAS, eTreppid wishes to maintain the restrictions on the documents marked as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" by eTreppid pursuant to the Protective Order.

WHEREAS, the Trustee has no objection to continuing to abide by such restrictions placed upon any documents pursuant to the Protective Order.

WHEREAS, the Parties enter into this Stipulation to resolve issues concerning the Protective Order and the Liner Firm's turnover of documents to the Trustee.

#### STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that, except for the Trustee and his professionals, the documents turned over to the Trustee by Flynn or the Liner Firm shall remain subject to the Protective Order, pending further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, that upon entry of the orders of the Nevada U.S. District Court and the U.S. Bankruptcy Court approving this Stipulation, Etreppid has no objection to Flynn or the Liner Firm turning over all documents in its possession, custody and control to the Trustee.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, the Trustee will not release any document marked as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" by eTreppid to any party unless prior written approval is obtained by eTreppid.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, that such approval by eTreppid will not be withheld unreasonably.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, if eTreppid withholds approval for the Trustee to release any document marked as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" by eTreppid pursuant to the Protective Order, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Riverside Division, presiding over the Debtors' bankruptcy case may hear and rule on the Trustee's request to except a particular document from the Protective Order.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, that when the Bankruptcy Estate is fully administered or if the Trustee obtains Bankruptcy Court approval to abandon any document marked as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" by eTreppid pursuant to the Protective Order such documents will be destroyed or returned to eTreppid pursuant to eTreppid's written designation of option.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, that the Parties to this Stipulation agree to continue to abide by the USA Protective Orders.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, this Stipulation shall become effective only upon entry of an order of the United States Bankruptcy Court authorizing the Trustee to enter into this Stipulation and approving the terms set forth herein. Absent entry of an order of the United States Bankruptcy Court approving this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be null and void.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, should any dispute arise regarding this Stipulation, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Riverside Division shall have jurisdiction to determine the dispute.

DATED: March 23, 2010 LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS H. CASEY, INC., A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

By: Thomas H. Casey, Attorney for

Jason M. Rund, in his capacity as Chapter 7 Trustee for the estate of Dennis Lee and Brenda Kathleen Montgomery

DATED: March \_\_\_\_, 2010 HOLLAND & HART, LLP\_\_

Jepry M. Snyder, Attorney for Treppid Technologies, NLC and Warren Trepp

TAS SO ORDE

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: My 27, 20/0

S:\Wordperfect\Montgomery\Pleadings\StipAmendProtectiveOrdereTreppid.wpd

# Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 5 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 5 of 19 IT IS SO ORDERED: DATED: UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 6 of 19

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 6 of 19

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 7 of 19 Filed 04/22/10 Page 7 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Page 1 of 10 Filed 09/11/2007 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 264 Page 1 of 10 Filed 09/10/2007 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 260 1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA 6 7 DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-PMP-VPC MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California 8 Base File Trust. 9 3:06-cv-00145-PMP-VPC Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP, an individual; DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE of 13 the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and DOES 1 through 10, 14 Defendants 15 AND RELATED CASE(S) 16 PROPOSES PROTECTIVE ORDER 17 This matter comes before the Court on eTreppid Technologies, LLC's Motion for a Protective 18 Order, filed September 19, 2006. Having reviewed the Motion, the Opposition thereto filed by Dennis 19 Montgomery and the Montgomery Family Trust, the pleadings and papers filed in support of and in 20 opposition to that motion, and having heard the arguments of counsel, this Court determines that in the 21 course of this litigation a party may produce documents and information which are confidential or 22 commercially sensitive in nature and that public disclosure of such information could be detrimental to 23 the producing party's interests. Similarly, such confidential or commercially sensitive information 24 may be disclosed by written discovery, deposition testimony, or in other filings with the Court. This 25 Court accordingly enters the following Protective Order: 26 SCOPE. The present Protective Order shall apply to all non-public information and 27 1. materials provided or produced by the parties in the course of the above-captioned litigation, whether 28

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor

Reno, Nevada 89511

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 8 of 19

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 8 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 264 Filed 09/11/2007 Page 2 of 10

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 260 Filed 09/10/2007 Page 2 of 10

written, oral, contained in documents or transcripts, or in any other form, which has in good faith been designated "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" in accordance with Paragraphs 2 and 3 below.

2. <u>DEFINITION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION</u>. There will be two levels of confidential information - - "Confidential" and "Restricted Confidential." A producing party may designate as "Confidential" such non-public documents, information, and materials produced by it which the producing party believes in good faith constitute, contain or reflect proprietary, trade secret or commercially sensitive information, that is not generally known and/or which the party would not normally reveal to non-parties or, if revealed to non-parties, would cause non-parties to maintain in confidence. A producing party may designate as "Restricted Confidential" such Confidential documents, information, and materials produced by it which the producing party believes in good faith constitute, contain or reflect extremely sensitive and highly confidential proprietary, trade secret or commercial information, for which the designation Confidential will not afford adequate protection under the terms of this Protective Order.

### 3. <u>DESIGNATION</u>.

- (A) A producing party or its counsel may designate as Confidential or Restricted Confidential any documents or other tangible things by (i) marking every page of such item Confidential or Restricted Confidential as the case may be, or (ii) sending written notice designating each page of such documents or each portion of such tangible things to be treated as Confidential or Restricted Confidential as the case may be.
- (B) A producing party or its counsel may designate deposition or other testimony provided by the producing party as Confidential or Restricted Confidential by any one of the following means: (i) stating orally on the record, with reasonable precision as to the affected testimony, on the day the testimony is given that the information is Confidential or Restricted Confidential, or (ii) sending written notice designating, by page and line, the portions of the transcript of the deposition or other testimony to be treated as Confidential or Restricted Confidential within 10 days after receipt of the transcripts.

# 4. USE OF CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.

Confidential and Restricted Confidential documents and information shall not be disclosed or

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 ::ODMA\PCDOCS\HLRNODOCS\665580\2

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 9 of 19

Filed 04/22/10 Page 9 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Filed 09/11/2007 Page 3 of 10 Document 264

Page 3 of 10 Filed 09/10/2007 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 260

9

10

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 11 12 13

15 16 17

14

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 communicated in any fashion nor be used for any purpose other than preparing for and conducting this litigation, as provided for in this Protective Order. It will be the responsibility of each of the parties' counsel to use reasonable efforts to ensure compliance with the Protective Order. However, nothing in this Protective Order shall prevent any producing party from disclosing or using its own Confidential or Restricted Confidential information as it deems appropriate, and any such use shall not be deemed a waiver of any party's rights or obligations under this Protective Order with respect to any confidential information. In addition, nothing in this Protective Order shall impose any restrictions on the use or disclosure by any party of documents, information, materials, or testimony obtained by such party independent of this litigation.

### RESTRICTIONS ON ACCESS TO CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED 5. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.

- (A) Access to Confidential information, and to any copies, portions, summaries, analyses or excerpts of any documents containing information that has been designated "Confidential" shall be limited to the following:
- (1) Counsel of record for the parties to the litigation, including office associates, paralegals, stenographic and clerical employees;
- (2) The parties to this action, their representatives, employees and agents, including in-house counsel;
- (3) Outside witnesses, potential witnesses, consultants, and/or experts, subject to the provisions of Paragraph 6 below;
- (4) Any person who is indicated on the face of a document to have been an author, addressee, or copy recipient thereof;
- (5) The Court and court personnel, including clerks and stenographic reporters engaged in such proceedings as are necessarily incident to this litigation;
- (6) Court reporters or stenographers who record deposition or other testimony in the litigation;
- (7) Outside photocopying services, graphic production services, or litigation support services employed by the parties or their counsel to assist in this litigation, and computer

::ODMA\PCDOCS\HLRNODOCS\665580\2

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 10 of 19

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 10 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 264 Filed 09/11/2007 Page 4 of 10

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 260 Filed 09/10/2007 Page 4 of 10

personnel performing duties in relation to a computerized litigation system;

- (8) Any other person whom the producing party agrees to in writing.
- (B) Access to Restricted Confidential information, and any copies, portions, summaries, analyses or excerpts of any documents containing information that has been designated "Restricted Confidential" shall be limited to the following:
- (1) Counsel of record for the parties to the litigation, including office associates, paralegal, stenographic and clerical employees.
- (2) The Court and court personnel, including clerks and stenographic reporters engaged in such proceedings as are necessarily incident to this litigation.
- (3) Court reporters or stenographers who record deposition or other testimony in the litigation.
- (4) Any person who is indicated on the face of the Restricted Confidential document to have been an author, addressee, or copy recipient thereof.
- (5) Outside photocopying services, graphic production services, or litigation support services employed by the parties or their counsel to assist in this litigation, and computer personnel performing duties in relation to a computerized litigation system.
  - (6) Outside consultants and/or experts, subject to the provisions of paragraph 6.
  - (7) Any other person whom the producing party agrees to in writing.

Documents or information which has been designated "Restricted Confidential" shall not be given to the receiving party or the receiving party's employees, representatives or agents, other than its counsel of record, or to any outside witness, unless such person is entitled to access to "Restricted Confidential" information pursuant to this paragraph.

6. ACCESS BY OUTSIDE WITNESSES, CONSULTANTS, AND/OR EXPERTS TO CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. The parties' counsel may, to the extent necessary to the prosecution or defense of this action, in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order, and in good faith, make confidential documents or information and any copies, portions, summaries, analyses or excerpts of any documents containing confidential information available to outside or non-party witnesses, consultants, or expert witnesses, provided however that prior to delivering any such

27 28

:ODMA\PCDOCS\HI.RNODOCS\665580\2

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 11 of 19

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Filed 04/22/10 Page 11 of 19 Document 1153 Page 5 of 10 Filed 09/11/2007 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 264

Filed 09/10/2007 Page 5 of 10 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 260

information to such witness, consultant, or expert, counsel shall obtain from the witness, consultant or expert, a signed and dated statement to the effect that the person has read this Protective Order and agrees to bound by its terms. ("Statement of Compliance").

- RENDERING ADVICE. Nothing herein shall bar or otherwise restrict an attorney who 7. is a qualified recipient of Restricted Confidential information under the terms of this Order from rendering advice to his or her client with respect to this action and, in the course thereof, from generally relying upon his or her examination of such information. In rendering such advice or in otherwise communicating with the client, the attorney shall not disclose the specific content of any Restricted Confidential information to any other person or party where such disclosure would not otherwise be permitted under the terms of this Order.
- DEPOSITIONS. Persons may be deposed regarding documents or information of 8. which they have knowledge which have been designated "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential." All transcripts of these depositions and any other deposition containing confidential information will be treated in accordance with this Protective Order and when filed shall be marked pursuant to the procedures set forth in Paragraph 9 below.

Aside from the witness, no person shall attend any portion of any deposition containing testimony regarding confidential information or documents except counsel for the parties unless such person has executed the Statement of Compliance. Any court reporter who transcribes testimony in this action at a deposition shall agree, before transcribing any such testimony, that all testimony containing confidential information is and shall remain confidential and shall not be disclosed, except as provided in this Protective Order and that copies of any transcript, reporter's notes or any other transcription records of any such testimony will be retained in absolute confidentiality and safekeeping by such shorthand reporter or delivered to attorneys of record or filed with the Court.

DISPUTES CONCERNING DESIGNATION OR DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS. 9. If any party to this Protective Order objects to the designation of any information as Confidential or Restricted Confidential, or there is a dispute concerning the disclosure of confidential information to the person(s) designated by the parties, the party having the objection or dispute shall first state the objection or issue by letter to the party that made such designations. The parties are ordered to confer

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

::ODMA\PCDOCS\HLRNODOCS\665580\2

Page 5 of 10

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 12 of 19

Filed 04/22/10 Page 12 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Page 6 of 10 Document 264 Filed 09/11/2007 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Page 6 of 10

Document 260

Filed 09/10/2007

1

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC

9 11 12

> 18 19

17

21 22

23

24

20

25 26

27 28 in good faith by telephone or in person to resolve any dispute respecting the terms or operation of this Protective Order. If the parties are unable to resolve such a dispute within 3 days of such conference, the dispute shall be submitted to the Court. In any such proceeding, the designating party shall have the burden of establishing that the disputed documents or information are "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential", as defined in Paragraph 2 above. No disclosure of any document or information in dispute shall be made pending resolution of the dispute. In the event that the Court is required to review a claim of confidentiality, the particular documents or information that has been challenged shall be submitted to the Court for in camera inspection.

The failure of any party to challenge the designation by another producing party of documents, materials, or information as Confidential or Restricted Confidential shall not be a waiver of that party's right to object to the designation of such material at trial.

FILING WITH THE COURT. No party or non-party shall file or submit for filing as 10. part of the court record any documents under seal without first obtaining leave of court. Notwithstanding any agreement among the parties, the party seeking to file a paper under seal bears the burden of overcoming the presumption in favor of public access to papers filed in court.

However, any party seeking to file with this Court or any appellate court discovery materials which contain "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" information, or any pleading, brief, or memorandum purporting to reproduce or paraphrase "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" information has an affirmative obligation not to file "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" material but to seek this Court's leave to file such material under seal. No party shall file any "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" information until this Court has ruled on that party's request for leave to file such information under seal.

NO IMPLIED ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY. The receipt of 11. documents, information or other materials designated as Confidential or Restricted Confidential pursuant to this Protective Order shall not constitute an acknowledgment that the same are in fact confidential or otherwise legally protectable, and the parties and their counsel shall not be obliged to challenge the propriety of any confidentiality designation. Failure to do so shall not preclude a subsequent challenge to the propriety of any such designation. Until and unless the parties may agree

::ODMA\PCDOCS\HLRNODOCS\665580\2

Page 6 of 10

Exhibit 1 Page 10

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 13 of 19

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 13 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 264 Filed 09/11/2007 Page 7 of 10

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 260 Filed 09/10/2007 Page 7 of 10

or the Court may finally determine that such documents, information or materials are not properly designated as Confidential or Restricted Confidential pursuant hereto, the same shall continue to be treated as so designated in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order.

- waiver of a party's rights to claim in this lawsuit or otherwise that the documents are privileged or otherwise undiscoverable. Production by any party of confidential documents or information in other litigation shall not constitute a waiver of its right to claim in this lawsuit or hereafter that such documents or information are confidential, privileged or otherwise undiscoverable. Nothing in this Protective Order requires any party to produce any documents or information that the party believes is privileged or otherwise non-discoverable. By entering into this Protective Order, the parties do not waive any right to object to any discovery request, or to the admission of evidence on any ground, or seek any further protective order, or to seek relief from the Court from any provision of this Protective Order by application or on noticed motion on any grounds.
- 13. THIRD PARTIES. Any third-party served with a subpoena in connection with this litigation or who otherwise produces documents or is noticed for a deposition in connection with this litigation may invoke the protections of this Protective Order by signing a copy of this Protective Order and agreeing to be bound by its terms.
- It. DISPOSITION OF CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION UPON FINAL DETERMINATION. Upon the final determination of this action, whether by judgment which is no longer appealable, determination after appeal, settlement, or otherwise, all documents, information and material designated as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" and all copies, testimony, summaries, notes, extracts, or abstracts of such documents or of such information shall promptly be returned to the producing party's counsel, or disposed of pursuant to further order of the Court, except that counsel shall be entitled to retain all memoranda or other documents prepared by counsel embodying information derived from any such materials; provided, however, that no subsequent use shall entail disclosure of any information as to which claim of confidentiality has been made. The final determination of this action shall not terminate the limitations on use and disclosure contained in this Protective Order.

Page 7 of 10

l

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 14 of 19

Page 14 of 19 Filed 04/22/10 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Page 8 of 10 Filed 09/11/2007 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 264 Page 8 of 10 Filed 09/10/2007

Document 260

1 2 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC

3

4 5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13 14

Hale Lane Peck Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor

Reno, Nevada 89511

16 17

15

18

19

20 21

23

24

25 26

27

AMENDMENT AND MODIFICATION. This Protective Order may be amended by 15. the written agreement of counsel for the parties submitted to an approved by the Court in this case. Nothing in this Protective Order shall preclude any party to this action from moving to vacate or modify this Protective Order or any provision thereof.

- Any person to whom documents or JURISDICTION AND ENFORCEMENT. 16. information designated as "Confidential" or "Restricted Confidential" are disclosed shall be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court for purposes of determining, assuring and adjudging such person's compliance with this Protective Order. This jurisdiction shall survive the termination of this action. Any party or person subject to this Protective Order who violates its provisions shall be liable for contempt of court and damages for any injuries or loss suffered by the producing party as a result of such violation.
- RELATIONSHIP TO STATE SECRETS. The United States Protective Order, entered 17. by the Court on August 29, 2007, upholds the government's assertion of military and states secret privilege regarding information as identified in said protective order. While it is not contemplated that trade secret information sought to be protected by the instant Protective Order directly involves military and states secrets information, to the extent that there is overlap of trade secret and military and states secrets information, the United States Protective Order takes precedence over the terms of the instant Protective Order. Accordingly, no party is to disclose or produce information subject to the

/// ///

///

22

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 15 of 19

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 15 of 19 Page 9 of 10 Filed 09/11/2007 Document 264 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Filed 09/10/2007 Page 9 of 10 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 260

United States Protective Order in the handling or production of trade secret information as identified in the instant Protective Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this // th day of September, 200

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511

#### Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1164 Filed 04/28/10 Page 16 of 19 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 16 of 19 Filed 09/11/2007 Page 10 of 10 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 264 Page 10 of 10 Filed 09/10/2007 Document 260 case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I, Gaylene Silva, declare: 2 I am employed in the City of Reno, County of Washoe, State of Nevada, by the law offices 3 of Hale Lane Peck Dennison and Howard. My business address is: 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor, Reno, Nevada 89511. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. I am 4 readily familiar with Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard's practice for collection of mail, delivery of its hand-deliveries and their process of faxes. 5 On September 10, 2007, I caused the foregoing PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER to be: 6 filed the document electronically with the U.S. District Court and therefore the court's 7 computer system has electronically delivered a copy of the foregoing document to the following person(s) at the following e-mail addresses: 8 Fax 310/500-3501 9 Fax No. 786-5044 Tpham@linerlaw.com; dklar@linerlaw.com; Lezlie@renofamilylaw.com Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 rlapine@linerlaw.com 10 Ronald J. Logar, Esq. Teri T. Pham, Esq. Eric A. Pulver, Esq. The Law Offices of Logar & Pulver Deborah A. Klar, Esq. 11 Ryan M. Lapine, Esq. 225 S. Arlington Avenue, Suite A Liner Yankelevitz Sunshine & Regenstreif, LLP 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor 12 Reno, NV 89501 Los Angeles, CA 90024-3503 13 Fax No. 784-5181 Fax No. 202/616-8470 14 Greg.addington@usdoj.gov Carlotta.wells@usdoj.gov Carlotta P. Wells, Esq. 15 Greg Addington, Esq. Assistant U.S. Attorney Senior Trial Counsel 16 Federal Programs Branch 100 W. Liberty Street, Suite 600 Civil Division - Room 7150 Reno, NV 89501 U.S. Department of Justice 17 20 Massachusetts Ave., NW Fax 202/616-8470 18 P.O. Box 883 Raphael.gomez@usdoj.gov Washington, DC 20044 19 Raphael O. Gomez, Esq. Senior Trial Counsel 20 Federal Programs Branch Civil Division - Room 6144 21 U.S. Department of Justice 20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. 22 P.O. Box 883 23 Washington, D.C. 20044 24 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on September 10, 2007. 25 26 Gaylene Silva 27 28

:ODMA\PCDOCS\HLRNODOCS\665580\2

Page 10 of 10

Exhibit 1 Page 14

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 17 of 19

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am en not a pa 92688.	nployed in the City of Ranch orty to the within action. My	business address is 22342	of Orange, St Avenida Emp	ate of California. 1 resa, Suite 260, Ran	am over the icho Santa M	Aargarita, Californi		
On Ap	ril <b>49</b> , 2010, I served the	documents named below or	n the parties in	n this action as follo	ows:			
DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:		STIPULATION TO SEPTEMBER 11, 20		PROTECTIVE	ORDER	ENTERED ON		
SERVED UPON:		SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST						
[ 🗸 ]	Order(s) and Local Bankr hyperlink to the document	NOTICE OF ELECTRO ruptcy Rule(s) ("LBR"), the t. On April 22, 2010 I check page are on the Electronic the following page.	: foregoing do :ked the CM/F	cument will be serv ECF docket for this	ed by the co	termined that the		
[✔]	(BY MAIL) I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mai at Rancho Santa Margarita, California. I am readily familiar with the practice of the Law Office of Thomas H. Casey, Inc. for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, mail is deposited in the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for collection.							
[ ]	(BY FACSIMILE) The above-referenced document was transmitted by facsimile transmission and the transmission was reported as completed and without error. Pursuant to C.R.C. 2009(i), I either caused, or had someone cause, the transmitting machine to properly transmit the attached documents to the facsimile numbers shown on the service list							
[]	(BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I am readily familiar with the practice of the Law Office of Thomas H. Casey, Inc. for collection and processing of documents for overnight delivery and know that the document(s) described herein will be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express for overnight delivery.							
[.]	(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered to an authorized courier or driver authorized by O.C. Corporate Courier to receive documents to be delivered on the same date. A proof of service signed by the authorized courier will be filed forthwith.							
[ ]	(STATE) I declare under correct.	FATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and rect.						
<b>[ ✓</b> ]	(FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court, at whose direction this service was made.							
Execut	red on April 2, 2010, at	Rancho Santa Margarita, C	California.	(	7.1			

#### SERVICE LIST

In re Montgomery et al v. eTreppid Technologies et al 3:06-CV-00056-PMP-VPC

#### Served Via Electronic Notice:

- Gregory W. Addington greg.addington@usdoj.gov,judy.farmer@usdoj.gov,joanie.silvershield@usdoj.gov
- Robert A Ayers rayers@steptoe.com
- \* Shane M Biornstad sbiornstad@hollandhart.com,ckelb@hollandhart.com
- \* Thomas H. Casey msilva@tomcaseylaw.com
- \* Amanda J. Cowley acowley@jonesvargas.com,eparcells@jonesvargas.com
- Carla DiMare cdimare@worldnet.att.net
- Michael James Flynn mjfbb@msn.com
- John J Frankovich jfrankovich@mcdonaldcarano.com,khenley@mcdonaldcarano.com
- \* Ellyn S. Garofalo egarofalo@linerlaw.com,mwilcox@linerlaw.com
- Leigh T Goddard lgoddard@mcdonaldcarano.com,pmiller@mcdonaldcarano.com
- Raphael O. Gomez raphael.gomez@usdoj.gov
- Gary R. Goodheart grg@jonesvargas.com,bschrager@jonesvargas.com,brs@jonesvargas.com,lross@jonesvargas.com
- \* Marshall B. Grossman marshall.grossman@bingham.com
- Brian M. Heberlig bheberlig@steptoe.com
- \* Dennis L. Kennedy dkennedy@baileykennedy.com,srusso@baileykennedy.com
- \* Adam G Lang alang@hollandhart.com,btoriyama@hollandhart.com,eford@hollandhart.com,intaketeam@hollandhart.com
- Debbie Leonard dleonard@mcdonaldcarano.com,pmiller@mcdonaldcarano.com
- Ronald Logar zachary@logarpulver.com
- \* Ronald J Logar Zachary@logarpulver.com,Eric@logarpulver.com
- Timothy Ryan O'Reilly tor@oreillylawgroup.com,fr@oreillylawgroup.com,lc@oreillylawgroup.com,mg@oreillylawgroup.com,jor@oreillylawgroup.com
- \* Bridget Robb Peck bpeck@lrlaw.com.jmoulian@lrlaw.com
- J. Stephen Peek speek@hollandhart.com,mdalluge@hollandhart.com,clein@hollandhart.com,dsagert@hollandhart.com,inta keteam@hollandhart.com,dbergsing@hollandhart.com
- \* Heather Ristau heather.ristau@bingham.com
- Robert E Rohde brohde@rohdelaw.com,jertel@rohdelaw.com,brohde@rohdelaw.com

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 1153 Filed 04/22/10 Page 19 of 19

- " Gregory G. Schwartz gschwartz@rohdelaw.com
- Richard Segerblom rsegerblom@lvcoxmail.com,tsegerblom@gmail.com
- Jerry M Snyder jsnyder@hollandhart.com,btoriyama@hollandhart.com,cpulsipher@hollandhart.com, carnold@hollandhart.com,Intaketeam@hollandhart.com,ckelb@hollandhart.com
- \* Roland Tellis roland.tellis@bingham.com
- \* Reid H. Weingarten rweingarten@steptoe.com
- Carlotta P Wells carlotta.wells@usdoj.gov

#### Served Via US Mail:

Dennis Lee Montgomery 6 Toscana Way Rancho Mirage, CA 92270

Brenda Kathleen Montgomery 6 Toscana Way Rancho Mirage, CA 92270

Joseph A Eisenberg Jeffer Mangels Butler & Marmaro LLP 1900 Ave Of The Stars, 7th Flr Los Angeles, CA 90067

Edra Blixseth 42765 Dunes View Rd. Rancho Mirage, CA 92270

Opspring, LLC 600 106th Avenue NE, Ste 210 Bellevue, WA 98004-5045

Blxware, LLC 600 106th Avenue NE, Suite 210 Bellevue, WA 98004